ro:	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE INITIALS	P83M00171R002100090009-7 TOP SECRET
1 PAO	Subject	The control of the co	I OI STAIL
<i>z.</i> I			(Soourity Plansification)
	_		

(Security Classification)

11 August 1980

25X1A	MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management	
25X1A	FROM: Director, Program Assessment Office	
	SUBJECT: First Draft PAO Study Plan and Input to FY 1983-1987 Guidance	
	 The attached data presents, first, an itemization of work PAO is now committed to, by one or more of the following: 	
	decision letter,issue paper,study in progress.	
	Second, it presents an itemization of candidate studies for the period from now until the FY 1983 Program Review. The studies all involve guidance in some way or other, either because:	
	 someone else should do them but we want to develop terms of reference; 	
	 a joint team should do them; or 	
	 PAO/RMS wants to alert others that they will be doing them and need data or other support. 	
	2. This draft is intended to be thought-provoking and includes topics which go beyond PAO's normal interests. The first questions to be answered concern the value and doability of the studies. Value includes actionability or, as described by in the 6 August meeting, "a plausible scenario for use of the findings." How best to get it done can then be addressed. Resource limitations will come into play in the next iteration. We have indicated relevant characteristics by each study.	NRO 25X1
	3. The readers should recognize that this is largely a "bottoms-up" plan and, like most such plans, has the strength that it is based on the perceptions of analysts engaged in specific areas. We have not taken a comprehensive "top down" look at study needs, as suggested by PGS, but in most areas, I expect convergence would result from the latter.	25X1
	NING NOTICE Approved For Release 2003/12/09 : CIA-RDP83M00171R002100090009-7	

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/12/09: CIA-RDP83M00171R002100090009-7

SUBJECT: First Draft PAO Study Plan and Input to FY 1983-198/ Guidance

- 4. It seems most productive to regard the attached as a "PAO kernel" list, solicit PBO and IRO's equivalent list (with votes on PAO's) and a PGS "top down" list. All could be reviewed and clustered/organized by a small team. Then the office directors (+1) could review what we have with management in late August. We would then do a preliminary ranking and partition among groups, and solicit CT's comments and study plans in early September. Discussions could also take place with NSC, OMB and Congressional staffs.
- 5. Final decisions would be made in late September and appropriate guidance words prepared where external research is involved. RFP's would follow.

	25X1A

Attachments:

ANNEX A-PAO Current Commitments ANNEX B-Candidate Studies for FY 1981 ANNEX C-Selected Rating Criteria

TOP SECRET

Approved-For Release 2003/12/09: CIA-RDP83M90171R002100090009-7

SUBJECT: First Draft PAO Study Plan and Input to FY 1983-198/

Guidance

25X1A Distribution: Copy #1-AD/DCI/RM #2-D/DCI/RM #3 & #4-D/PGS #5 & #6-D/IR0

#7 & #8-D/CLLS #9 & #10-D/PBO

#11-D/PA0 #12-DD/PA0 #13-C/AS

#14-PAO Subject #15-PAO Chrono #16-RM Registry

25X1A

DCI/RM/PAO: -8/8/80)
DCI/RM/PAO: -8/11/80) Revised

Approved For Release 2003/12/09 : CIA-RDP83M00171R002100090009-7

PAO FY 1981 Study Program Candidate Studies

8 AUG 1980

Imagery Collection and Processing

Subject Category	Subject	Purpose/Scope/Objective	Comments
1.1	Imagery Exploitation-Collection Flexibility	sampling strategies? Is topical reporting a step	FY 1983 cross-program review issue.
	1 TEXTOTI TO	in this direction? Shouldn't exploitation require-	Exploits previous PAO work. Community Working Group type study.
1.2	Softcopy Utility Study	What is the incremental value of softcopy versus hardcopy? When, where, how often is it more effective, and how much more effective? Provide tests of significance that include relative frequency of exploitation functions. (U)	■ RM type study.
1.3	Duplication of Exploitation and Photointerpreters Requirements through 198/		
		SECRET	

Approved For Release 2000 : CIA-RDP83M00171R002100090009-7

Subject	China	D (0 (0) ! . ! !	
Category	Subject	Purpose/Scope/Objective	Comments
		given to identifying any interface problems which are potentially correctable by NFIP organizations. In addition, the study could identify potential R&D or operating concepts that might better tailor the existing vans to support contingency operations (e.g., by making them smaller and lighter). (S)	
7.4	Intelligence Support for the RDJIF	As a follow-on to our study on NFIP Support to Contingency Forces, it might be useful to have an IC Staff assessment of what type of intelligence support is being provided the RDJTF. Study should not be undertaken until later in the year after the RDJTF has had an opportunity to organize its resources. (U)	 High intelligence payoff in political sensitivty. Exploits previous PAO effort. CT/RM type study. Guidance type study. Possible DCI interest.
7.5	Post Mortems	Based on recommendations in both the Contingency Study and the Senior Review Board's review of the Community's performance in estimating North Korean troop strengths, there appears to be a need for initiating post-mortems on significant events in which elements of the Community are involved. The proposal is that RMS take the lead in this area by convening an interagency group and conducting a prototype post mortem on a recent event (e.g., the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, the Colombian hostage situation, etc.). Emphasis would be on analyzing the performance and contribution of collection systems and production organizations to identify potential resource implications. For the effort to be most successful, the DCI should explicitly endorse it. (TS	sensitivity. Exploits previous PAO effort. Community Working Group type study. Possible DCI and Congressional interest.
		NRP - General	
8.1	Benefit/Cost Study of NRO Ground Support Operations, Contractor Technical Support and Sustaining Engineering Activities	With the growth in cost of NRP hardware and manpower, it is important that cost reductions that cut into Contractor Technical Support and sustaining engineering be vigorously opposed because the value of these activities far outweigh their costs. (The funding	WORKING PAPER
		6	25X1
		SECKET	

Approved For Release 2005 12/09 : CIA-RDP83M00171R002100090009-7

	Subject <u>Category</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Purpose/Scope/Objective allocations to these support activities tend to be "level of effort" allocations and are often difficult to justify). This study would develop quantitative estimates of the benefits accuring from these activities and how that the costs are well worthwhile. (S/TK)	<u>Comments</u>	
25X1A 25X1A NRO	8.2		Identify possible cross-program architectures for providin capabilities for NRP systems.	 Likely to influence decisions. FY 1983 Program Review issue. Guidance type study. Possible DCI interest. 	
25X1	8.3			Possible DCI, OMB and Congressional interest. External research required.	
i ,					
	1_		Other Studies		
	9.1	Research and Development	How are the Community's resources distributed for R&D? Which organizations receive the bulk of money? What types of research are they doing? Are there areas of research that are being neglected? What kinds of problems could benefit from R&D? How readily does the R&D Community adapt to changing intelligence problems? (U)	• FY 1983 cross-program review issue. • Community Working Group type study.	
				WORKING PAPER	
(SECRET		25X1

Approved For Release 2003 12/09 : CIA-RDP83M00171R00210009009-7 Subject Subject In its markup of the FY 1981 NFIP Budget (pp. 42-43), the HPSCI noted "with alarm the increasing trend toward relaying on DoD NFIP augmentation funding to provide needed tactical capabilities may soon be provided by any one of five different sources NFIP ioint."

High-intelligence payoff in political sensitivity.

Possible FY 1982 budget review issue.

RM type study.

Possible DCI and Congressional interest. Category Funding of Intelligence Systems 9.5 any one of five different sources: NFIP, joint funding arrangements outside of the NFIP, IRA, TCP or DRSP. Someone needs to stand back from the actual DRSP. Someone needs to stand back from the actual budget drill and look at the funding problem in an objective way (if it is possible). A comparative assessment of what is funded where and why; what might be funded where and why; and how this all relates to possible Congressional concern about the proliferation of funding mechanisms might provide some very interesting insights into where we might be headed in the late 1980s. High intelligence payoff in political The Center for the Study of Intelligence recently (May 1980) recommended that someone should undertake Intelligence Community Support sensitivity. 9.6 (May 1980) recommended that someone should undertake a study designed to explore at a minimum the following questions: Is the Intelligence Community best able to provide intelligence support to the Congress, or should the legislative branch have its own intelligence analysis system? What is the impact of disseminating unclassified analysis to the public? Is this the best way to provide intelligence or should there be less emphasis on public disclosure of analysis? How does the dissemination of information to the media affect of the Congress, the Public and • PGS type study. the Media the dissemination of information to the media affect the presently contentious relationship that seems to exist between CIA and the press? Since the impact of intelligence on each of these groups is recognized, the role of intelligence should be studied in a systematic manner. (U) PAO Management Information System (MIS)

An internal study to define the kinds of Community and management information that PAO needs to routinely obtain to carry out its missions. This study could support a more broadly based RMS/CTS effort and should also help define how we should manage our internal files in light of the automated registry system. (U) • RM type study. 9.7 **WORKING PAPER**

SECRET

· •	Subject	Approved F	For Release 2003/12/09 : CIA-RDP83M00171R00210	0090009-7	
	Category	Subject	Purpose/Scope/Objective	Comments	
	9.8	RMS Budget Ranking Methodology	An evaluation of how the budget ranking process has been carried out in the part of how it is planned for the fall, with special emphasis on the role of PAO. Options for improving the process (on a pilot basis) should be developed and evaluated. (U)	• RM type study.	
25X1A	9.9		A review of the uses for these comms to determine how important they are to nd find out what particular requirements are most important to assure. (S) Other Collection Studies	 Probably a year too early to do this study. 	25X1
	10.1	Structure for Analysis	Develop a model or structure for comparing the relative utility of NFIP collection methods. Provide enough flexibility to allow without inter-int compari-	• RM type study.	NRO
25X1A			sons (e.g		25X1
•			intelligence functions as a base for comparison (Economic Intelligence, Military Intelligence, Political Intelligence, IAW, crisis management, etc.). Include system availability data and probabilities		
25X1A	10.2	Future Adequacy of National SIGINT Systems	of success. (S/	High intelligence payoff in dollars. Potential program review issue for FY 1983. Guidance type study.	NRO 25X1
(TS.	WORKING PAPER	25X1A 25X1
₹.			SECRET		23A I

ANNEX C	Approved	For	Rele	ease 200 Value	03/1,2/	Re16	ŀA⋷Ŗ	DP83	M001	7 <u>1 P</u>	902	100	090	009-7	<u>Leve1</u>	of	Inte	rest	<u>0</u>	ther	-
<u>Study</u>		Likely to produce new analytic insights.	Likely to intluence decision(s).	High intelligence payor (in political sensitivity).	Potential budget review issue for FY 1982	Potential cross-program review issue for FY 1983.	Potential program review issue for FY 1983.	Exploits previous PAO initiative(s).	RM Type study	CT Type study	CT/RM Type Study	CMG Type Study	Guidance Type Study	External Research	Possible DCI interest	Possible D/DCI/RM Interest	Past OMB/NSC Interest	Past Congressional Interest	Done Before/Well Studied Topic	Recommend no further consideration	
											-										